

**HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL**  
**Planning & Development Committee**

Minutes of a Meeting of the above-named Committee held at the  
Town Council Offices, Albert Road, Horley on 25 June 2019, at 7.30 pm

<b>Present</b>	<b>Cllrs</b>	Giorgio Buttironi Pamela Chandler Mike George (Chairman) Jerry Hudson (Vice – Chairman) Samantha Marshall Simon Marshall Martin Saunders Rob Spencer Fiona Stimpson
<b>Also Present</b>		Joan Walsh (Town Clerk) Judy Morgan (Administrative Officer)

**P 6479                    Apologies and Reasons for Absence**

***RESOLVED: that the apologies of Cllr Powell be accepted for reasons as specified in the Attendance Register.***

**P 6480                    Disclosable Pecuniary Interests and Non-Pecuniary Interests**

***RESOLVED: that the Declarations of Interest, as appended to these minutes, be noted.***

**P 6481                    Approval of Minutes  
Planning & Development Committee – 28 May 2019**

***RESOLVED: that the minutes of the above meeting of the Planning & Development Committee, be approved.***

**Planning Updates – 28 May 2019**

**P 6482                    Arne Gove/Landen Park Village Green**

In order to assist the residents, the Town Council had arranged for its grounds maintenance contractor to provide a quote for the work to return the village green to a useable condition. The quote was £1940 + VAT. A Town Council Grant application form had also been provided. The residents had been informed that the maximum grant available would be £1000 for one-off assistance but not ongoing maintenance. Eligibility for the grant would further require the residents to become a formally constituted community group with an appointed treasurer. The Town Council had since heard nothing further. Cllr Buttironi had also been providing guidance and assistance to the residents in his capacity as a Borough Councillor.

***RESOLVED: noted.***

**P 6483**

### **Healthwatch Surrey Response**

The Town Clerk gave an overview of the response received from Healthwatch which had been previously circulated. Their aim is to be evidence led in the way that they share what they have heard from the public and are keen for residents to share information with them by using the online contact form on their [website](#). They would especially like to hear from residents who cannot register with a GP or who are facing long waits.

The Town Clerk added that, through Cllr Saunders, contact had been made with Alliance for Better Care who had offered to come and talk to the Council about the provision of local healthcare in due course.

**P 6483)**

**RESOLVED: noted.**

**P 6484**

### **Outside Bodies and Sub-Committees**

#### **Horley Town Management Group (HTMG)**

The Clerk advised that the HTMG had their most recent meeting on Thursday, 6 June 2019. The RBBC Regeneration Manager was unable to attend to give a briefing on the High Street Precinct refurbishment but he did pass on the following update for the meeting:

- Good progress overall had been made in the Precinct. The anticipated finish date would be end July/early August, however, final sign-off after safety audits could run it into the end of September. The new lighting columns were in place and the street furniture would be installed later which would include suitable seating around the 'Millennium Mosaic' feature. The connections required for the Christmas Tree and for the staging had been satisfactorily resolved. The hanging baskets could be installed at any future date and these had been ordered by the Town Council.
- The Town Clerk said she had enquired if a Press Release from the Borough on the Precinct works might be issued for public information but had heard nothing further. The Chairman added that the lack of published information on developments throughout the project was a concern, especially so as this was very good news for Horley and should be widely promoted.

Further updates from the HTMG included the 'Horley Vision' project, aimed to help improve the public realm in Horley town centre, and this was being revisited with a view to making Horley much more accessible with improved parking options.

The Clerk added that the Wayfinding Group had met to consider the new signage in an around the town centre. They would be guided by RBBC's signage contractors for the Precinct for compatibility reasons and a meeting was due to be arranged with both of these parties. Cllr Saunders added that he assumed this would also coordinate with the new signage for Tanyard Meadows and he would be able to ascertain further details on this through the RBBC representative, if needed.

The Clerk concluded the updates by mentioning that RBBC had planned to deliver a presentation on the Revised RBBC Corporate Plan before the next HTMG meeting, due to take place on 18 July at 6.00 pm. However, this had yet to be confirmed by the Borough Council.

**P 6484)**

**RESOLVED: noted.**

**P 6485 Determined Planning Applications**

Members reviewed the list of Planning Applications determined for the period 23 May – 20 June 2019.

**RESOLVED: noted.**

**P 6486 Planning Applications received from Reigate & Banstead Borough Council for the period 23 May – 20 June 2019.**

**RESOLVED: that the Town Council's comments, as appended to the signed copy of the minutes and available on the Town Council and Borough Council websites, be approved.**

**Planning Appeals – During the period 23 May – 20 June 2019**

**P 6487 Planning Appeals Received**

Members reviewed the list of Planning Appeals Received for the period 23 May – 20 June 2019.

**RESOLVED: noted.**

**P 6488 Planning Appeals Determined**

Members reviewed the list of Planning Appeals Determined for the period 23 May – 20 June 2019.

**RESOLVED: noted.**

**Ongoing Planning Matters**

**P 6489 Horse Hill Oil Well Planning Application**

It was noted that the Town Council had received a copy of Salfords & Sidlow Parish Council's second response to the above planning application, following an amendment with a map showing the area of reinstatement. The Parish Council had made strong objections to the application and suggested that it should not be granted until a thorough seismic survey had been carried out. The public consultation deadline was 24 June 2019. A committee date had, as yet, not been set to determine the application. The British Geological Survey had analysed events near [Newdigate in 2018](#) and had come to the conclusion that they were not induced.

**P 6489) RESOLVED: noted.**

**North East Sector (The Acres)/North West Sector (Westvale Park)**

**P 6490** The Town Clerk reported that construction of the link road connecting the A23 to the Westvale Park development was still underway.

**RESOLVED: noted.**

P 6491

The Chairman and Cllr Sanders both expressed concerns over the recent rise of parking at The Acres and Westvale Park developments by airport users. The Chairman said that he had suggested better signage in the case of Westvale Park to alleviate the problem for residents.

Cllr Saunders said that, in some cases at The Acres, it appeared that such increased parking had been at the invitation of some of the occupiers. He added that the slow adoption of the roads through The Acres development had not helped the situation.

**RESOLVED: noted.**

P 6492

### **Town Centre Regeneration**

The Town Clerk advised that the proposed merger of the Regeneration Forum with the Horley Town Management Group was still being considered by RBBC.

**RESOLVED: noted.**

### **Railway Matters**

#### **Govia Thameslink Railway (GTR) – Passenger Benefit Fund**

P 6493

The Planning Vice-Chairman reported that he had attended a presentation, organised by Henry Smith MP, on the GTR Passenger Benefit Fund, held on Friday, 21 July 2019.

Following the disruption faced by passengers in summer 2018, the Secretary of State for Transport announced that GTR would contribute £15m towards a passenger benefit fund which would be used to provide tangible benefits for passengers. The Secretary of State nominated Bim Afolami, Henry Smith and Heidi Allen, as MPs representing different parts of the GTR network, to work with GTR and develop a plan for how the fund would be allocated and consulted on. The MPs recommended that decisions on how the fund would be spent should be made at as local a level as possible which is why an amount from the fund had been allocated to stations, depending on how much the station was impacted by the May 2018 timetable introduction.

Horley Station has been allocated £80,000. Passengers, passenger groups and other stakeholders could submit ideas about how to spend the amount allocated at a local station level, or at a wider passenger benefit scheme level.

[The survey](#), (closing date 31 July 2019), asks the individual to choose a station and then rank, in priority order, schemes that benefit passengers at their local station or to provide ideas for schemes that benefit passengers across all stations.

The Committee were in agreement that the survey should be completed by individual members who are rail commuters and have experienced the rail service disruptions. Both RBBC and the Rail Users Group (RRDRUA) should also be contacted for their views and the survey be shared by the Town Council as widely as possible.

P 6493)

**RESOLVED: that both RBBC and RRDRUA be contacted for their views, that the survey be included in the HTC eNewsletter, website and twitter, and that members directly affected by the disruptions, submit their own individual responses.**

[\[Supplementary Note: If you have an idea that benefits more than one station, then please go to the survey, choose a station and enter your idea into the wider passenger](#)

*benefit text box. The survey responses will be considered and evaluated in August and September.]*

**P 6494**

The Vice-Chairman further reported that he understood from the GTR representative that it might be possible to have the money spent on other improvements linked to a station, such as the underpass. He also took the opportunity to raise the issue of the lack of trains to Victoria, via Horley Station – the GTR representative noted the concern and said that timetables were subject to review every two years.

**RESOLVED: noted.**

**P 6495**

#### **Redhill Bus Surgery**

The Town Clerk reported a notice from the Sussex Community Rail Partnership, together with the Bus Alliance/Metro Bus/Bus Users UK, in regard to a 'Bus Surgery' due to be held on the Market Square, Redhill, on 28 June 2019, to give local bus users an opportunity to speak to the bus providers.

**RESOLVED: noted.**

#### **Highways Matters**

**P 6496**

#### **SCC Consultation - Rethinking Transport - Business, Community, Voluntary Sector & Local Council Questionnaire (closing date 29 June 2019)**

Having considered the above SCC Consultation, members took the view that this survey was for individuals to respond to and no collective response from the Council was required. The Council would however communicate these opportunities through the HTC eNewsletter and website as far as possible.

**RESOLVED: noted.**

**P 6497**

#### **Resurfacing works at Riverside Garden Park Car Park**

The Town Clerk reported that the car park would be closed for the duration of the resurfacing work which was due to begin on 3 July and would last for about 2 days. As always, this timetable would be weather dependent.

**RESOLVED: noted.**

**P 6498**

#### **Footpath 392 Horley Diversion Application (comments by 22 July 2019).**

The Committee was advised that due to pedestrian safety concerns, the footpath which crosses the London to Brighton railway line, is currently closed. Network Rail had applied to SCC to divert the footpath so that it runs beside the railway line, under the viaduct and along Cross Oak Lane to join with the footpath on Orchard Drive. As part of the application, Network Rail had agreed to provide a 2m wide footpath. Members welcomed the application which they felt improved safety and provided a footpath along Cross Oak Lane where currently none was provided.

**P 6498)**

**RESOLVED: that comments in support of the proposed diversion be submitted to SCC.**

**P 6499**

#### **School Parking in Albert Road**

Cllr Stimpson reported that as a measure to further improve child safety, Horley Infant School had asked RBBC to issue more free parent/carer parking permits and for

the permitted free parking time to be extended in the High Street car park. The school had however received a negative response.

P 6499)

**RESOLVED: noted.**

P 6500

**SCC Highways Bulletins**

**RESOLVED: that receipt be noted of the latest SCC Highways Bulletins (already circulated to members).**

**Airport Matters**

P 6501

**ICCAN Corporate Strategy Consultation (Closing Date 16 June 2019)**

**RESOLVED: - that the Councils response (appended to these minutes) be ratified.**

P 6502

**Aviation 2050 – The Future of UK Aviation (DfT Green paper) – Consultation (Closing 20 June 2019)**

**RESOLVED: that the Council's response (appended to these minutes) be ratified.**

P 6503

**Gatwick Route 4 Airspace Change – Design Principle Feedback Request – Closing 28 June 19)**

Members discussed the above draft Gatwick Route 4 Airspace Change consultation which had previously been circulated. The Chairman reported that he was liaising with RBBC and Salfords and Sidlow Parish Council and would be attending a meeting to discuss the issues on Wednesday, 26 June, in order to form a collective response from all local authorities.

P 6503)

**RESOLVED: that the draft response (appended to these minutes), be approved and that any additional amendments made at the Chairman's discretion following the meeting with RBBC and Salfords and Sidlow Parish Council, be ratified at the next Committee meeting.**

P 6504

**GATCOM: Weekly Newsletters & Updates**

These had previously been circulated to Members for information.

P 6504)

**RESOLVED: noted.**

P 6505

**GAL Publication Decade of Change progress report.**

The Town Clerk noted that the report, previously circulated to Members, gives details about the progress GAL is making against its sustainability targets, such as achieving a 7% reduction in the airports noise footprint compared to 2017 and a 64% reuse/recycle rate. GAL had also spent £128 million with local suppliers.

**RESOLVED: noted.**

P 6506

**Recent Airport Communications**

From

Subject

Received

Action

GATCOM	Weekly Newsletters & Updates	7.06.19) 14.06.19) 21.06.19)	Noted.
GAL	Decade of Change Report 2018	25.06.19	Noted.
GATCOM	Runway Closures Calendar v.4	24.06.19	Noted

**RESOLVED: noted.**

**P 6507**

#### **Accessibility of Horley Town Centre for Mobility users**

Cllr Stimpson said that she wished to conduct an audit of the pavements in Horley town centre, preventing ease of access for mobility users and people with pushchairs. She asked for this to be widely publicised by the Town Council, inviting interested individuals to join a community group, and that responses to this initiative be sent to her in the first instance.

**RESOLVED: that the call for evidence on pavement accessibility for mobility users, in Horley Town Centre, be widely publicised in the Town Council eNewsletter and website with responses sent to Cllr Stimpson.**

**P 6508**

#### **Junction at Cross Oak Lane / Orchard Drive**

Cllr Saunders outlined the background to a recent petition presented to the SCC Local Committee for Reigate and Banstead by 'The Acres Residents Association', to change the road layout where Orchard Drive and Cross Oak Lane meet to allow left hand turns. This matter was last considered by the SCC Local Committee on 4 March.

Members were reminded that this was a controversial issue, with separate petitions being lodged by residents to the SCC Local Committee, in support of and against changes to current layout of the junction in question. Salfords & Sidlow Parish Council had objected to changing the junction layout, not due to its current design, but to avoid it becoming a 'rat run' from increased traffic through rural lanes.

It was noted that the permanent restriction at the junction was imposed as part of the Planning Permission for The Acres development. Seven personal injury collisions had occurred at the junction between 1st November 2015 and 31st October 2018 (the most recent being a 3-year period for which data was available) all of which involved a slight injury. The Road Safety Working Group considered this junction at their meeting in May 2018, due to the small cluster of personal injury collisions and concluded that, although any one collision is one too many, the level of personal injury collisions at this junction is lower than many other sites in Surrey and any changes to this junction would not be prioritised with the limited funding available at present.

Members discussed the issue in detail. They were of the view that the Town Council should write to SCC Highways, noting the history of accidents at the junction, expressing concerns that the junction did not seem to be working in the way it was originally intended and seeking advice from the Highways Authority on what could be done to improve it.

**RESOLVED: that the Town Council writes a letter to SCC Highways, expressing its concerns about the current layout of the Orchard Drive and Cross Oak Lane junction and what could be done to improve the junction.**

P 6509

**Letters Received**

<b>From</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Received</b>	<b>Action</b>
A Resident	Thank you for securing improvement in the pick-up and drop-off area at Horley Station	25.06.19	Noted
A Resident	State of pavement between junction of Victoria Road/ Station Approach to junction of Victoria Road/Grove Road	25.06.09	Logged with SCC whose response is that no remedial work is needed.

**RESOLVED: noted.**

P 6510

**Diary Dates**

**Presentation: Revised RBBC Corporate Plan, Edmonds Hall, 23 July 2019, 6.30 pm\***  
**\*To be preceded by an informal hospitality 'Meet and Greet' event with County, Borough and Town Councillors at 6.00 pm.**

**Skittles Tournament 1-5 July 2019**

**RESOLVED: noted.**

P 6511

**Items for Future Consideration**

Town Park

**RESOLVED: noted.**

P 6512

**Press Release**

**RESOLVED: that comments on Planning Applications be released to the press and placed on the Town Council website.**

Meeting closed at 9.32 pm

Date of next meeting: 23 July 2019



**Planning & Development Committee**  
**Declarations of Interest**

**Date of Meeting: 25 June 2019**

Councillor(s)	Pecuniary or Non-Pecuniary	Reference	Location	Details
George Hudson Samantha Marshall Simon Marshall Stimpson	Non-Pecuniary	<u>RE19/00895</u>	Hookwood Waste Management Centre, 24-26 Reigate Road, Horley, Surrey RH6 0HJ	The applicant is known.
Samantha Marshall	Non-Pecuniary	<u><a href="#">19/00930/F</a></u>	17 Avenue Gardens Horley Surrey RH6 9BS	Proximity and the applicant is known.

**List of Planning Applications**  
**Registered by Reigate & Banstead Borough Council**  
**During the period 23 May – 20 June 2019**

**To see plans please CTRL+click on the application number to follow the link .**

<b>1.. SCC Letter Dated: 14/05/19</b> <b>SCC Ref 2019/0060</b>	<b>Application No: <a href="#">RE19/00895</a></b>
LOCATION:	Hookwood Waste Management Centre, 24-26 Reigate Road, Horley, Surrey RH6 0HJ
DESCRIPTION:	Erection and use of a HGV maintenance building (retrospective)
<b>HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL</b> <b>COMMENTS</b> <b>ratified at meeting, 25/06/19</b>	<b>No objections</b>

<b>2. RBBC Letter Dated: 23/05/19</b>	<b>Application No: <a href="#">19/00930/F</a></b>
LOCATION:	17 Avenue Gardens Horley Surrey RH6 9BS
DESCRIPTION:	Single storey extension and conversion of double garage to two bedroom dwelling.
<b>HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL</b> <b>COMMENTS</b> <b>ratified at meeting, 25/06/19</b>	<b>The Town Council OBJECTS on the grounds of a cramped site &amp; overdevelopment.</b> <b>Whilst the back garden is divided from the existing property there is no such division to the front of the property; is it the intention to have a shared driveway?</b> <b>The Town Council is concerned about the rights of access to the proposed property for future occupants.</b>

<b>3. RBBC Letter Dated: 29/05/19</b>	<b>Application No: <a href="#">19/01020/HHOLD</a></b>
LOCATION:	8 Briars Wood Langshott Horley Surrey RH6 9UE
DESCRIPTION:	Demolition of an existing extension and replacement with an increased size extension to the rear of the property to create an open plan living, kitchen and dining room. Extension also to the side of the property to create a new entrance and utility room. Internal alterations to the ground floor bathroom and a new window looking onto Briars Wood for a new office space.
<b>HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL</b> <b>COMMENTS</b> <b>ratified at meeting, 25/06/19</b>	<b>No objections</b>

<b>4. RBBC Letter Dated: 30/05/19</b>	<b>Application No: <a href="#">19/00961/HHOLD</a></b>
LOCATION:	Clavadel 151 Smallfield Road Horley Surrey RH6 9LR
DESCRIPTION:	Demolition of existing single storey garage. Erection of new 2 storey side extension.
<b>HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL</b> <b>COMMENTS</b> <b>ratified at meeting, 25/06/19)</b>	<b>No objections</b>

**List of Planning Applications**  
**Registered by Reigate & Banstead Borough Council**  
**During the period 23 May – 20 June 2019**

<b>5. RBBC Letter Dated: 28/05/19</b>	<b>Application No: <u>19/00986/F</u></b>
LOCATION:	Land At The Croft Meath Green Lane Horley Surrey RH6 8HZ
DESCRIPTION:	Erection of 14 dwellings with improved site access, private amenity space, garaging, parking and potential for pedestrian access to neighbouring development.
<b>HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL COMMENTS Planning meeting, 25/06/19</b>	<b>No objections</b>

<b>6. RBBC Letter Dated: 6/06/19</b>	<b>Application No: <u>19/01023/HHOLD</u></b>
LOCATION:	3 Westcott Keep Horley Surrey RH6 9US
DESCRIPTION:	1st floor extension to box bedroom, above existing entrance and ground floor cloakroom.
<b>HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL COMMENTS Planning meeting, 25/06/19</b>	<b>No objections</b>

<b>7. RBBC Letter Dated: 17/06/19</b>	<b>Application No: <u>19/01100/CU</u></b>
LOCATION:	71 Victoria Road Horley Surrey RH6 7QH
DESCRIPTION:	Change of use from A1/A2 to A1/A2/A3/B1/D1 use (flexible consent).
<b>HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL COMMENTS Planning meeting, 25/06/19</b>	<b>No objections</b>

<b>8. RBBC Letter Dated: 20/06/19</b>	<b>Application No: <u>19/01209/S73</u></b>
LOCATION:	Ladram 53 Haroldslea Drive Horley Surrey RH6 9DT
DESCRIPTION:	Demolition of the existing conservatory, proposed rear extension, part single storey/part two storey side extension and proposed infill to the southwest corner of the existing dwelling. Variation of condition 1 of permission 18/01662/HHOLD.
<b>HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL COMMENTS Planning meeting, 25/06/19</b>	<b>No objections</b>

**List of Planning Applications**  
**Registered by Reigate & Banstead Borough Council**  
**During the period 23 May – 20 June 2019**

<b>9. RBBC Letter Dated: 20/06/19</b>	<b>Application No: <u>19/01221/F</u></b>
LOCATION:	Yattendon House Yattendon Road Horley Surrey RH6 7BS
DESCRIPTION:	Proposed side extension, associated roof works.
<b>HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL COMMENTS Planning meeting, 25/06/19</b>	<b>No objections</b>

**List of Planning Applications**  
**Registered by Reigate & Banstead Borough Council**  
**During the period 23 May – 20 June 2019**

**Tree Works (Non-Felling)**

<b>A. RBBC Letter Dated: 6/06/19</b>	<b>Application No: <u>19/01109/TPO</u></b>
LOCATION:	1 Landen Park Horley Surrey RH6 8DZ
DESCRIPTION:	Corsican Pine T1 - Reduce the length of the lowest branch on west side to shorten by 3.5m. Reduce the length of the 2nd branch on west side to shorten by 3m. Reduce the length of the 3rd and 4th branches on west side to shorten by 2m. Sots Pine T2 - Reduce the length of the lowest branch that is above the lawn to shorten by 3.5m.
<b>HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL COMMENTS (Planning Officer delegation):</b>	<b>No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree Officer.</b>

<b>B. RBBC Letter Dated: 12/06/19</b>	<b>Application No: <u>19/01143/TPO</u></b>
LOCATION:	8 Little Meadow Horley Surrey RH6 9FL
DESCRIPTION:	G2 - To reduce all trees overhanging the right hand rear boundary line, back by 2.0m (or back to boundary line). The homeowner has reported problems with pests entering the property via the over hanging trees.
<b>HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL COMMENTS (Planning Officer delegation):</b>	<b>No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree Officer.</b>

<b>C. RBBC Letter Dated: 20/06/19</b>	<b>Application No: <u>19/01211/TPO</u></b>
LOCATION:	Eversley 12 Limes Avenue Horley Surrey RH6 9DH
DESCRIPTION:	Prunus - on land formerly adjacent to the building of 10 Limes Avenue Horley. Crown lift over road to a height of 4 meters to allow for easier access. Tree falls under TPO RE570.
<b>HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL COMMENTS (Planning Officer delegation):</b>	<b>No objections, subject to no adverse comments from the Tree Officer.</b>

**1. In your view, how far does the Strategy address the key issues around the management of aviation noise?**

Does not address at all  1  2  3  4  Address all key issues

**Please briefly explain your above rating (approx. 400-word limit)**

N/A

**2. Do you agree with our overall aim to improve public confidence and trust in the management of aviation noise?**

Yes  No

**If you answered no, please briefly explain why (approx. 400-word limit)**

N/A

**3. Have we got the right strategic objectives for our first two years?**

Yes  No

**Please briefly explain your answer (approx. 400-word limit)**

Whilst we support the strategic objectives it is important that expectations are managed in what can or cannot be achieved.

**4. What do you think about our planned activity for the first two years? (approx. 400-word limit)**

We think your aims & timeline are ambitious but hopefully doable. Your plans centred around airspace design/changes as listed in the timeline 'by April 2020' would seem to indicate potential amendments to CAP1616. Not a good idea with all the possible changes going on during that period around FASI-S & LAMP

**5. Given ICCAN's remit, do you think there is anything missing from the strategy?**

Yes  No

**Please briefly explain your answer (approx. 400- word limit)**

The strategy as proposed addresses the current main issues however aviation is constantly changing be it capacity demands on airports and airlines; also maximising the benefits of new technology in mitigating the impacts of aircraft noise. We assume, going forward, that regular reviews of the corporate strategy will be undertaken to ensure it is meeting its targets.

## ICCAN Corporate Strategy Response prepared by Cllr Mike George

### **Do you have any further comments? (approx. 400-word limit)**

We also hope that ICCAN will establish regular contacts going forward with all tiers of local government.

We have concerns that it is a recommending body without teeth so its recommendations can presumably be ignored? This could be addressed if CAA/DfT converts recommendations into regulations or ICCAN is given regulatory powers.

Response submitted by HTC online on 13 June 2019.

# HORLEY TOWN COUNCIL

**Joan Walsh**  
**Town Clerk**  
**Council Offices, 92 Albert Road**  
**Horley, Surrey RH6 7HZ**  
Tel: 01293 784765  
info@horleysurrey-tc.gov.uk  
www.horleysurrey-tc.gov.uk



Aviation Strategy,  
Department for Transport,  
33 Horseferry Road,  
London,  
SW1P 4DR

By email: [AviationStrategy@dft.gov.uk](mailto:AviationStrategy@dft.gov.uk)

19 June 2019

Dear Sir or Madam,

## **Aviation 2050 — the future of UK aviation open consultation**

Horley Town Council have carefully considered the proposals in the government's long-term vision for aviation to 2050. The paper was circulated to all 18 Members and has been discussed at the Council's planning committee. I am writing to submit their consultation response.

The town of Horley is a direct neighbour to the north of Gatwick Airport. Key transport corridors to the airport pass through the town (the London to Brighton Mainline and the A23). Many of our residents are employed directly by the airport; and there is a concentration of firms that support or are related to the presence of the Airport in and around the town.

As such, we value sustainable growth in aviation and the economic benefit that may flow from that. However, the Council is extremely conscious of the need to reduce environmental impact and our specific concern is to ensure that there is less community impact or, at least, no increase in the impacts on our community created through surface access and noise.

The Council is actively engaged in the current and ongoing Gatwick Route 4 Airspace Change consultation where we give a more detailed response regarding noise impacts on our community.

We have seen the response to this consultation submitted by our local borough Council, Reigate and Banstead Borough Council; and we support their views.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads 'Joan Walsh'.

Town Clerk



# Gatwick Route 4 Redesign of RNAV SIDs

## Design Principles Review Response from Horley Town Council



## Document Details

---

Reference	Description
<b>Document Title</b>	Gatwick Route 4 Redesign of RNAV SIDs
	Design Principles Review Response
<b>Document Ref</b>	71248 031
<b>Issue</b>	Issue 1 Final
<b>Date</b>	7 <sup>th</sup> June 2019
<b>Classification</b>	

# 1 Responses

---

As one of our key stakeholders, London Gatwick Airport appreciates the time and effort you have invested in helping us to develop Design Principles to support the Route 4 airspace redesign project. We would now welcome any further comments you may have to help us further develop the shortlist of Design Principles. These principles will serve as the framework against which detailed design work may commence. An opportunity for further involvement will be provided during the design work, and again during the formal consultation, which will include a much wider group of aviation and non-aviation stakeholders.

Please mark your response to each of the questions below and provide any additional comments you wish us to consider.

Send your completed document to [lgwairspace.rte4@gatwickairport.com](mailto:lgwairspace.rte4@gatwickairport.com) by 1700 hours on 28<sup>th</sup> June 2019.

Thank you for your continued support.

Question 1
Do you agree that London Gatwick Airport has developed its Route 4 Design Principles in full accord with the process detailed in CAP 1616, Step 1B?
Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Additional Comments:

Question 2
Do you agree that the comprehensive list of Design Principles captures the specific areas of concern you have articulated in either a questionnaire or during participation in one of the focus groups?
Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Additional Comments:

Question 3
Do you broadly support our reasons for not including certain Design Principles in the short list? If not, please provide further comment.
Response <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Additional Comments: Your decision to exclude no.21 & the reasons for doing so are contradictory.

Question 4	
Do you believe any of the items selected for the shortlist of Design Principles are inappropriate selections? If so, please explain why.	
Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
Additional Comments: Nos. 1 & 7 which are based on safety should not be options but a given in any route design.	

Question 5	
Do you agree with the prioritisation that we have applied to the shortlist of Design Principles? If not, please add any comments and use Table 1 on page 6 to provide us with your preferred prioritisation.	
Response <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Additional Comments: As safety is a given we have ignored principles 1 & 7 in listing our priorities against other principles. Principles Nos 2 & 12 are in conflict in that dispersion is different from respite. The former is tactical whilst the latter is designed into a route. Can dispersion be the default position with the change to PBN? Re your principle No10 it will be no surprise that we consider the current AIP overflight rules for Horley must be maintained and therefore give this a much higher priority [our no.2]. That said we do accept that the new developments being built under R4 do not get the same protection.	

Question 6	
Are there other Design Principles not included in the long list list that you feel should be considered as candidates for the final shortlist? If so, please provide your comments.	
Response <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Additional Comments:	

Question 7	
Do you have any other comments on how the CAP 1616, Step 1B process has been conducted to date?	
Response <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Additional Comments:	

Table 1 on the next page lists the Design Principles initially prioritised according to the volume of responses (greatest volume at the top of the list) returned in questionnaires and from comment during discussions at the recent focus groups.

If you agree that the Design Principles have been prioritised correctly, then please indicate this by marking the table with a comment.

If however, you believe an item should have a higher priority, then please use the right hand column to indicate this.

Prioritised No (a)	Design Principle (b)	Your priority (c)
1	Route 4 options will be designed safely with full regulatory compliance	17
2	Designs should be built to manage dispersion below 7,000 ft	4
3	New Route 4 designs should give due regard to the historic routings in use before 2012	1
4	Designs should seek to minimize overflight of previously unaffected locations	6
5	Designs will seek to avoid overflight of notified noise sensitive areas	11
6	Route 4 designs should seek to minimise the impact of adverse noise on the Surrey Hills AONB	12
7	Route 4 designs should consider neighbouring airports procedures to ensure adequate deconfliction	16
8	Routes should include an extended westerly climb profile before a later easterly turn	5
9	Designs should not include respite options that place routes over newly overflown populations	7
10	Overflight protections already contained in the UK AIP must be maintained	2
11	Route 4 procedures should follow M25 and A24 corridors where background noise already high	14
12	Designs should be built to concentrate dispersion below 7,000ft	15
13	Procedures should include RF legs	6
14	ARINC 424 coding must ensure aircraft follow the desired lateral and vertical paths	13
15	Routes should be designed to limit the wrap around turn to no more than 180°	3
16	Route 4 designs must consider FASI-S objectives and ensure alignment	10
17	Route 4 designs should not be constrained by the lateral dimensions of the existing NPR to 4,000ft	9

Table 1 - Stakeholder Prioritised Shortlist of Design Principles

Thank you for completing this table.